

REMARKSI. Introduction

In response to the Office Action dated March 9, 2005, claims 5, 6, 9-12 and 51 have been amended. Claims 13-27 and 30-49 have been previously cancelled. Claims 1-12, 28-29 and 50-52 remain in the application. Re-examination and re-consideration of the application, as amended, is requested.

II. Examiner Interview Summary

Record is made of a telephone interview between Applicants' attorney William Wood, and Examiner Falk on December 3, 2004 in connection with the present patent application. The substance of this interview included the specification's description of the claimed subject matter and the types of amendments that would further clarify the language of the claims.

Record is also made of a telephone interview between Applicants' attorney William Wood, and Examiner Falk on May 3, 2005 in connection with the present patent application. The substance of this interview included the specification's description of the claimed subject matter and the types of amendments that would further clarify the language of the claims.

Applicants' attorney thanks Examiner Falk for her helpful comments and suggestions in these interviews.

III. Non-Art Rejections

On page (2) of the Office Action, claims 5-9, 12 and 50-52 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph. In response, claims 5, 6, and 9 have been amended hereinabove to overcome this rejection. Claims 5 and 6 now depend from allowable claim 1.

On page (4) of the Office Action, claims 9-12 and 51 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicants regard as the invention. In response, claims 9-12 and 51 have been amended hereinabove to overcome this rejection. The amendment to claim 9 introduces the step noted in the outstanding office action. The amendments to claims 9-12 and 51 also clearly identify the model as a "mouse model".

IV. Conclusion


In view of the above, it is submitted that this application is now in good order for allowance and such allowance is respectfully solicited. Should the Examiner believe minor matters still remain that can be resolved in a telephone interview, the Examiner is urged to call Applicants' undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

GATES & COOPER LLP
Attorneys for Applicant(s)

Howard Hughes Center
6701 Center Drive West, Suite 1050
Los Angeles, California 90045
(310) 641-8797

Date: May 4, 2005

By: 
Name: William J. Wood
Reg. No.: 42,236

WJW/amb/sjm